WWW SNE ASSESSMENT REPORT



Assessment Report on Literacy and Numeracy Skills assessment for Learners with Special Needs in Wasichana Wetu Wafaulu Project

Education Development Trust (EDT)

In association with;

Kenya Institute of Special Education (KISE) P. O. Box 48413 - 00100 Nairobi, Kenya

© September 2020

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

CEMASTEA	Centre for Mathematics, Science and Technology Education in Africa
EARCs	Education Assessment and Resource Centres
EDT	Education Development Trust
EGMA	Early Grade Mathematics Assessment
EGRA	Early Grade Reading Assessment
н	Hearing Impairments
IEP	Individualized Education Program
КСРЕ	Kenya Certificate of Primary Education
KCSE	Kenya Certificate of Secondary Education
KEMI	Kenya Education Management Institute
KICD	Kenya Institute of Curriculum Development
KISE	Kenya Institute of Special Education
KNEC	Kenya National Examination Council
KSL	Kenyan Sign Language
MOE	Ministry of Education
РН	Physical Disabilities
SBTD	School-Based Teacher Development
SNE	Special Needs Education
TSC	Teachers Service Commission
TVET	Technical and Vocational Training
VI	Visual Impairments
www	Wasichana Wetu Wafaulu

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF FIGURES	v
LIST OF TABLES	vi
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	vii
1.0 BACKGROUND TO THE PROJECT	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Wasichana Wetu Wafaulu Project	1
1.3 Rationale for EGRA/EGMA TESTS in WWW Project	2
1.4 Objectives of the Consultancy	3
2.0 ADAPTATIONS OF THE EGRA/EGMA TESTS FOR LEARNERS WITH SNE	4
2.1 Adaptations of the EGRA/EGMA Tests for Learners with Physical Disabilities	4
2.2 Adaptations of the EGRA/EGMA Tests for Learners with Visual Impairments	6
2.3 Adaptations of the EGRA/EGMA Tests for Learners with Hearing Impairments	7
2.4 Teacher Training Workshop on Tests Adaptation and Administration	10
3.0 METHODOLOGY	11
3.1 Sampling and Data Collection Procedures	11
3.2 Procedures of Test Administration	11
3.2.1 Before Test Administration.	12
3.2.2 During Test Administration	12
3.2.3 After Test Administration	13
3.3 Data Analysis	13
4.0 TEST RESULTS AND FINDINGS	14
4.1 Introduction	14
4.2 Learners with Physical Disabilities	14
4.2.1 Numeracy scores for learners with physical disabilities	14
4.2.2 Literacy scores for learners with physical disabilities	17
4.3 Learners with Visual Impairments	19
4.3.1 Numeracy scores for learners with visual impairments	19
4.3.2 Literacy scores for learners with visual impairments	22
4.4 Learners with Hearing Impairments	27
4.4.1 Numeracy scores for learners with hearing impairments	27
4.4.2 Literacy scores for learners with hearing impairments	29

5.0 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ACTION	34
5.1 Recommendations for Action by the Government of Kenya	34
5.2 Recommendations for Action by the Teachers	34
5.3 Recommendations for Action by the Civil Society Organizations	35
5.4 Recommendations for WWW (EDT)	35
ANNEXURE	36
Annex 1: Scatter Plots and Linear Model Fits for Literacy Skills among Learners with Pl	۶36
Annex 2: Scatter Plots and Linear Model Fits for Literacy Skills among Learners with VI	
Annex 3: Scatter Plots and Linear Model Fits for Literacy Skills among Learners with HI	40
A second A. Attack devices list for Toot A devicing traction Tractices	

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Average KCPE Performance 2010-2014	2
Figure 2: Average scores in numeracy for learners with PH in class 6	15
Figure 3: Average scores in numeracy for learners with PH in class 7 and 8	16
Figure 4: Average scores for learners with PH in reading invented and familiar words	17
Figure 5: Summary scores for passage reading task for learners with PH in class 6, 7 and 8	18
Figure 6: Average scores in numeracy for learners with VI in class 6	20
Figure 7: Average scores in numeracy for learners with VI from class 7- form 3	21
Figure 8: Average scores of reading invented words by learners with VI	22
Figure 9: Average scores of reading familiar words by learners with VI	23
Figure 10: Average scores of reading a timed oral passage by learners with VI	24
Figure 11: Average scores of reading an untimed oral passage by learners with VI	25
Figure 12: Average scores on comprehension questions by learners with VI	25
Figure 13: Average scores in numeracy for learners with HI in class 6	27
Figure 14: Average scores in numeracy for learners with HI from class 7- form 3	28
Figure 15: Average scores of reading invented words by learners with HI	29
Figure 16: Average scores of reading familiar words by learners with HI	
Figure 17: Average scores of reading a timed oral passage by learners with HI	31
Figure 18: Average scores of reading an untimed oral passage by learners with HI	31
Figure 19: Average scores on comprehension questions by learners with HI	32

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Sur	mmary of adaptations of assessment tools for learners with PH	5
Table 2: Sur	mmary of adaptations of assessment tools for learners with VI	6
Table 3: Ge	eneral test adaptations of assessment tools for learners with HI	8
Table 4: Sp	ecific adaptation for literacy tests for learners with HI	9
Table 5: Sp	ecific adaptation for numeracy tests for learners with HI	9
Table 6: Dis	stribution of learners in with SNE in the study sample	14
Table 7: Dis	stribution of numeracy scores for learners with PH in class 6	15
Table 8: Dis	stribution of numeracy scores for learner with PH in class 7 and 8	16
Table 9: Dis	stribution of literacy scores for learners with PH	19
Table 10: D	istribution of numeracy scores for learners with VI in class 6	20
Table 11: D	vistribution of numeracy scores for learner with VI from Class 7 - form 3	21
Table 12: D	istribution of literacy scores for learners with VI	26
Table 13: A	verage scores in numeracy for learners with HI in class 6	27
Table 14: D	Distribution of numeracy scores for learner with HI from Class 7 - form 3	29
Table 15: D	Distribution of literacy scores for learners with HI	33

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Education Development Trust has been working in Kenya since 1992 and has over the years worked with governments and development partners to strengthen education systems in the other African countries. Wasichana Wetu Wafaulu (WWW – 'Let Our Girls Succeed') is a six-year (2018-2023) DFID-funded project implemented in Arid and Semi-Arid Lands (ASALs) and urban slum contexts. In accordance with the National Education Sector Strategic Plan (NESSP) 2018 – 2022 priority 2, WWW project is contributing to Policy Priority 2 which is to improve the quality and relevance of primary and secondary education. Specifically, the project is contributing in the improvement of the learning outcomes, in the delivery of competence- based education and in the integration of ICT in teaching and learning in primary and secondary schools. The project has a specific bias on improving learning among the cohort of girls in the project through in and out of classroom learning activities in regular and special needs settings.

Baseline results for the project indicate that majority of the learners in the schools are yet to attain proficiency in the Mathematics and English competences for their levels. To establish changes in learning outcomes as a result of the project interventions to date, Education Development Trust, through an external evaluator administered standardized EGRA/EGMA, SEGMA/SEGRA tests at mid-line June-September 2019. However, learners with disabilities were not targeted in the assessment and therefore the project is blind on the learning competences of learners of special needs. It is against this background that Education Development Trust, through Kenya Institute of Special Education used the adapted EGRA/EGMA, SEGMA/SEGRA tests to assess the literacy and numeracy levels of learners with disabilities in in five WWW project schools in Mombasa and Kilifi counties.

The assessment of learning gaps for learners with special needs in Wasichana Wetu Wafaulu Project revealed a strong relationship between basic literacy and numeracy skills for among learners with physical, visual and hearing impairments. Notably, the assessment indicated that learners with special needs who experience difficulties in reading familiar words also perform poorly in in numeracy tasks that require reading and comprehension (word problems). The assessment report also revealed that there is slow acquisition rate of literacy skills for learners with special needs as they transit from one class/grade to the next level; a maximum of 8 percent for learners with physical disabilities, 3 percent for learners with visual impairments and 5 percent for learners with SNE, actionable recommendations were made to the government of Kenya, teachers, parents, civil society organizations, development partners and other stakeholders on developing, enhancing and facilitating remedial strategies.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Education Development Trust, formerly CfBT Education Trust, is a not-for-profit international education services company with over 50 years' experience, working in more than 80 countries that specializes in primary and secondary education. Our vision is to provide outstanding, sustainable education solutions that transform and improve the school education and life chances of children and young people worldwide. We have specialist experience in strengthening education systems and supporting school improvement in post-conflict, transition, and early-recovery fragile states.

Education Development Trust has been working in Kenya since 1992 and has over the years worked with governments and development partners to strengthen education systems in the other African countries including Somalia, Rwanda, Mozambique, Malawi, Uganda, Botswana, Namibia and Zimbabwe. Programmes in Sub-Saharan Africa are mainly geared towards improving efficiency, effectiveness and relevance of national education systems and school programmes to increase access, retention and quality of learning.

1.2 Wasichana Wetu Wafaulu Project

Wasichana Wetu Wafaulu (WWW – 'Let Our Girls Succeed') is a six-year (2018-2023) DFIDfunded project implemented in Arid and Semi-Arid Lands (ASALs) and urban slum contexts. For ASAL, we target the counties of Turkana, Samburu, Marsabit, Tana River, Kwale and Kilifi. For the slums, Nairobi, and Mombasa. Using an integrated approach to girls' education, we have put in place strategies that address the girl herself, girl at home, girl at school and the girl in the community. We are supporting an estimated 52,004 girls in about 500 Primary school, 60 secondary schools and 25 TVET institutions to complete their current phase of education with improved learning outcomes and successfully transit to a productive and positive next phase; having skills, qualifications, and confidence to take control of their lives.

The project is implemented by a consortium of five organizations who include Education Development Trust as the lead and, Ananda Marga Universal Relief Team (AMURT), Concern Worldwide, Pastoral Girls initiative and KESHO Kenya. The principal government partners are the Ministry of Education (MoE), the Teachers Service Commission (TSC), Kenya Institute of Curriculum Development (KICD), Kenya National Examinations Council (KNEC), Centre for Mathematics, Science and Technology Education in Africa (CEMASTEA), Kenya Education Management Institute (KEMI) and 8 County Governments.

1.3 Rationale for EGRA/EGMA TESTS in WWW Project

Improving learning has become a priority in the education sector worldwide. Despite the expansion of education in the recent past, many countries including Kenya have not realised desired learning outcomes. The progress of learning is typically slow and is marked with inequalities across different regions. Learners who make it out of primary school do not master adequate competency levels that ultimately equip students with skills that are needed to lead a healthy, productive and meaningful lives. About 60 percent of learners in Grade 2 in Kenya, for example, cannot perform two-digit subtraction according to Uwezo Assessment Reports (2015) (http://www.uwezo.net/). The KCPE results indicate a marginal realization of both literacy and numeracy skills. Given the learning challenges of children with disabilities and the general lack of special needs learning equipment and resources, it is expected that disabled children are likely to perform even more poorly than their counterparts at the same level. See **Figure 1** below:



Figure 1: Average KCPE Performance 2010-2014

Such early learning deficits are magnified over time even into secondary school (World Bank 2018).

In accordance with the National Education Sector Strategic Plan (NESSP) 2018 – 2022 priority 2, Wasichana Wetu Wafaulu project is contributing to Policy Priority 2 which is to improve the quality and relevance of primary and secondary education. Specifically, the project is contributing in the improvement of the learning outcomes, in the delivery of competence- based education and in the integration of ICT in teaching and learning in primary and secondary schools. The project has a specific bias on improving learning among the cohort of girls in the project through in and out of classroom learning activities in regular and special needs settings.

WWW has been implementing the project from 2017. Baseline results for the project indicate that majority of the learners in the schools are yet to attain proficiency in the Mathematics and English competences for their levels. To establish changes in learning outcomes as a result of the project interventions to date, Education Development Trust, through an external evaluator administered standardized EGRA/EGMA, SEGMA/SEGRA tests at mid-line June-September 2019. Results of the evaluation were mixed with intervention performance at 50% (literacy) and 72% (numeracy) of 0.25 Standard Deviation from comparison group, using Randomized Control Trial design. However, learners with disabilities were not targeted in the assessment and therefore the project is blind on the learning competences of learners of special needs.

1.4 Objectives of the Consultancy

The project aims at assessing the literacy and numeracy levels of learners with disabilities in the project and against this, remedial strategies developed. Specifically, the consultancy is to:

- 1) Adapt existing literacy and numeracy test instruments to the various disabilities represented in the program
- Facilitate assessment of learners with various special learning needs in grades 6, 7 and 8, Form 1, 2 and 3 in the WWW project-supported stand-alone special schools, special units and clusters of special needs children in Mombasa and Kilifi counties
- 3) Establish learning competency gaps and needs for learners with special needs in the two subjects and recommend remedial actions

2.0 ADAPTATIONS OF THE EGRA/EGMA TESTS FOR LEARNERS WITH SNE

2.1 Adaptations of the EGRA/EGMA Tests for Learners with Physical Disabilities

Learners with disabilities are a heterogeneous group whose motor difficulties are either due to Musculo-skeletal problems, neurological impairment or chronic health problems which affect vitality, strength, alertness as well as causing pain. Cerebral palsy is currently the most common physical handicapping condition is special schools for learner with physical disabilities having replaced poliomyelitis which was common in the 60s and 70s.

Physical disabilities adversely affect movement, posture including sitting and standing, grasping/manipulating objects including writing tools and communication. Those with neurological condition like cerebral palsy may have cognitive difficulties, short attention span, motor planning difficulties, perceptual difficulties and language difficulties which may affect how they receive and process information received through senses. This may affect literacy, numeracy and other classroom skills and activities.

Accommodations during testing are measures put in place in order to give the learner equitable opportunity to demonstrate what they know and are able to do. During planning for testing, accommodations were instituted to address barriers that impede learner's ability to demonstrate what they know or can do without reducing learning expectations. The accommodations put in place mirror classroom assessment as well as national examination.

It was expected that the EARC or the child's school had conducted functional assessment and reassessment of each learner in order to give an accurate picture of each learner's current functioning level to facilitate individualized testing accommodation. Due to limited information on individual learners, accommodations were limited to:

- Setting accommodation
- Presentation accommodation
- Response accommodation
- Timing and scheduling accommodation
- Resource accommodation

Area	Specification of accommodation	Reason for accommodation
Setting accommodation	 Accessible room Adequate space for equipment and to take the test Room free of distractors Preferential seating and individualization 	 Learners with Physical disabilities have limited mobility, Some learners use assistive equipment that require adequate space when manipulating Some learners are easily distracted by clutter As per individual needs e.g. take test while seated on a mat, standing etc.
Test Presentation Accommodation	 Individualized Testing with test administrator present Large print Limited items on each page Adequate space to write on Repeat instruction Paper present according to individual learner's need Test taking position altered 	 To address any unforeseen challenges To cater for those with low vision To cater for needs of those with poor head control, incoordination Some learners may need to work better with paper presentation in angle to allow for individual needs
Response accommodation	No penalty for articulation errors arising from uncoordinated of speech organs, allow to complete sentences without interruption, no penalty for writing errors due poor grip or lack of writing strength	Learners with neurological impairment are challenged in communication due poor co- ordination of speech organ to read, speak etc., limited strength for writing, to give each learner equal chance to demonstrate skills and knowledge and prevent undue disadvantage
Timing and scheduling Accommodation	 All test to be administered in the morning Give extra time to complete tasks e.g. provide short breaks between tasks if needed. 	 Learner are fresh and have energy in the morning To allow learner reasonable time to complete tasks Some get fatigued easily, lack strength, experience pain, to relieve anxiety and tension related to disability and assessment
Resources Accommodation	Provision of adaptable writing tools e.g. Pens, pencil with adapted grip Allow use of assistive devices like wheelchair tray, adapted seat, adapted desk etc.	Adapted resources assist in performing task, facilitate mobility and reduce disadvantages arising from the disability

Table 1: Summary of adaptations of assessment tools for learners with Pr	Table	1: Summar	y of ad	aptations o [,]	f assessment	tools for	learners with P
--	-------	-----------	---------	--------------------------	--------------	-----------	-----------------

Area	Specification of accommodation	Reason for accommodation
Literacy	Give each learner a minimum	To address various barriers
Accommodations	additional time of 20 seconds in	experienced during timed
for classes 6, 7	inverted words reading, familiar	reading tests including lack of
and 8	words reading, oral passage	coordination, pain, fatigue,
	reading (timed) and in reading	perceptual difficulties etc.
	comprehension.	Some take time to process
	Repeat instructions and provide time	information
	to answer oral questions	
Literacy	Give additional time of 30 minutes	Need to have time to formulate,
Accommodation	to complete tasks	write and check their answers
for classes 6, 7	Provide adequate space	due to limited strength, lack of
and 8		coordination, chronic pain,
		fatigue etc.

2.2 Adaptations of the EGRA/EGMA Tests for Learners with Visual Impairments

Visual impairment constitutes a heterogeneous group of learners the, blind and those with low vision. The blind are those whose sight is not enough to read or use print while those with low vision are those whose vision is so reduced that they can only access regular print if it is enlarged or magnified according to individual learner's needs.

The blind access written information (braille) through touch, it therefore requires that all visual information must be adapted to make it suitable for the two categories of learners with visual impairments.

Table 2: Summary of adaptations of assessment tools for learners with VI

Test category	Adaptations done	Reasons for adaptations
Numeracy	 Standard 6 The enumerator is required to tactually guide the learner's fingers to the reading material and demonstrate how the learners should proceed. The learner is tactually familiarized with the timer that is to be used to time the exercise The learner is prepared psychologically before taking the test Standard 7,8 and forms 1,2 and 3 The printed tests are adapted to braille for learners with blindness and large print for learners with low vision Diagrams are presented in a narrative ensuring there is no change on the concepts being tested The angles in a triangle are also explained and not presented in the drawing of a triangle Where required to draw a graph the question is turned around so that the learner is to read and not draw the araph 	 Learners with blindness access printed materials when presented in braille Learners with blindness have challenges reading diagrams and maps Explanations and descriptions give the blind a picture of what is in the drawing Learners with blindness have challenges with drawing and plotting graphs however they can read simple graph In line with Kenya national examination council guidelines the learners were allowed some extra 30 minutes to take the test To set the mood for taking the test.
Literacy	 Work with each learner independently sitting side by side The learner's fingers are guided on the reading material as the enumerator demonstrates the reading process The wording of the instructions to the learner are also modified Time is adjusted from 60 second for the regular learner to 80 seconds for the visually impaired 	 To ensure that the learner is able to tactually follow the instructions to ready the texts. To fit the needs of the visually impaired

2.3 Adaptations of the EGRA/EGMA Tests for Learners with Hearing Impairments

Learners with hearing impairment are a heterogenous group with varying degrees of hearing loss ranging from mild, moderate, severe to profound hearing loss. This diversity on the hearing loss categories required adaptations of test tools to enable accessibility of the test tools to diverse categories with focus on instructional modes, assessment on modes of responses, conducive test environment settings, standard time allocation on varying tasks and accommodating resources. Generally, learners with hearing impairment exhibit the following communication difficulties in classroom settings;

- Difficulties in following verbal instructions.
- Difficulties with oral expressions
- Challenges in language structures
- Limited vocabulary.
- Challenges in understanding abstract concepts.

Area of adaptation	Adaptations done	Reasons for adaptation
Medium of instruction	Adaptation from oral/aural (spoken, speaking and listening) to fingerspelling, signing, pointing and total communication Manual modes including signing fingerspelling with total communication.	To accommodate diverse categories of learners with hearing impairment. The deaf require sign- language as a mode of manual communication; Hard of hearing require total communication oral-aural instructional mode; and those with post lingual deafness speech reads therefore requires total communication. Manual modes will enhance observation visually for scoring purposes
Response mode	Manually including signing /sign reading, fingerspelling or use of total communication.	Learners due to lack or limitation of speech may not provide effective feed back
Test environment	The test to be to be administered in well lighted rooms Low ambient sounds to be ensured Sitting positions to be done with enough space The scorer positioned to observe read and score without drawing attention of the learner	Learners needs good lighting visual to express and receive signs for understanding and interpret signed responses. Low ambient sounds will control distractions for the hard of hearing. Enough spacing allows easy performance in signing and minimizes distractibility. Observe one learner at a time while signing invented words and sign reading or answering questions and scoring professionally without causing test anxiety
Timing	Additional extra time range between 20 to 40 seconds provided in specific task areas	Additional time provided to accommodate time spent in reading internalizing and responding using manual modes as finger spelling

Table 3: General test adaptations of assessment tools for learners with HI

Area of adaptation	Adaptations done	Reasons for adaptation	
		signs and sign language.	
	Extra seconds will cafer for		
		internalization of material or content	
	read and choice of signs.		
Resources	Large print for invented	For ease of reading while signing	
	words	and to cope with timed speed	

Table 4: Specific adaptation for literacy tests for learners with HI

Area of adaptation	Adaptations done	Reasons
Invented words	Words to be finger-spelt in response	Invented words lacked corresponding signs and were more abstract in nature.
Familiar words/Oral reading;	Use of signs instead of speech while reading by sign reading	To enable the scorer to observe on the correct use of vocabulary for scoring
Reading oral passages	Sign reading by learners	To enable scorer observe and count number of words read and signed correctly
Answering comprehension questions correctly	 The scorer to read and ask the learner questions using signs, sign language, fingerspelling and total communication Limit on the English language structure of answering comprehension questions using signs to accommodate use of limited signs 	 To enable the learner to comprehend and understand the questions for correct response To score correctly for comprehension questions

Table 5: Specific adaptation for numeracy tests for learners with HI

Area of adaptation	Adaptation done	Reason
Instruction medium	 Learners point and sign the numbers instead of saying the words in test tool for class six In identifying missing numbers, learners wrote the numbers in the boxes provided. 	Learners lack speech and oralism.

2.4 Teacher Training Workshop on Tests Adaptation and Administration

A training workshop for teachers was done on 7th and 8th March 2020 at the Pride Inn hotel, Mombasa. The aim of the workshop was to train teachers on test adaptation and administration. Teachers were placed in two groups; primary school teachers and secondary school teachers.

On 7th March the primary school teachers from Likoni school for learners with VI, PortReitz school for learners with PH, Gede special school for learners with HI and Kakuyuni special school for learners with HI were trained on test administration. On the other hand, teachers from secondary schools were trained on 8th of March 2020. They were drawn from Pwani school for learner with HI and Likoni school for learners with VI were trained.

The teachers were taken through the training manual, observation checklist, specific adapted tools according to the specific categories of disabilities and simulation of test administration.

In a plenary the participants gave reports for their respective groups and concerns which were further discussed for clarification.

3.0 METHODOLOGY

3.1 Sampling methodology

Mixed sampling methods were used where the stream, sex and degree of severity was taken into consideration through stratification. Purposive sampling of the respondents was done to ensure that only learners with special needs and disabilities were included in the sample. Complete enumeration was done for all the selected schools except for Pwani secondary/vocational for HI where 50% of the learners were sampled. The sampling frame was provided by the Trust.

Test administration was done in two schools in Mombasa county and three in Kilifi county. The following schools were sampled for the administration of the literacy and numeracy tests:

County	school	category	No. of respondents
Kilifi	Kakuyuni special school	н	15
	Gede special school	н	20
Mombasa	Pwani secondary	н	57
	Likoni school for the blind	VI	38
	Likoni secondary school for the	VI	48
	blind		
	Port Reitz	PH	31

3.2 Procedures of Test Administration

The test administrators were teachers from the respective schools, EDT coaches and KISE officers who also took the role of team leaders in the various schools. The test administrators worked as a team. The sampled learners were expected to take numeracy and literacy test and each test was accompanied by an observation check list which the test administrator was to fill. The exercise was monitored by KISE and EDT officers.

Test administrators were expected to familiarize themselves with the test instructions beforehand. There was a team leader in each school to coordinate the test administrators. Head teacher/school principal's offices was the entry point for test administrators.

Tests were administered to learners with special needs in special schools. Selected teachers from special primary and secondary schools were trained and assigned the responsibility of administering the test. The tests were administered to learners in class 6, 7 and 8 and those in form 2, 3 and 4 and it addressed two subjects, English and Mathematics. Two teachers per class per subject i.e. two teachers of Maths and English in class 6,7 and 8 and two from form 2,3 and 4 were engaged in the test administration.

On arrival at the school the test administrator ensured the following:

- a conducive atmosphere was established for test taking in consideration of the individual learners needs.

- learners to take the test were identified using the stipulated guidelines. In schools with large population of learners i.e. Pwani secondary school 50% sample was used.
- two tests were administered to learners individually (mathematics and English).
- Only learners with disabilities were selected to take the test.

3.2.1 Before Test Administration.

Before commencing the administration, a thorough training of the test administrators on the test adaptations was done and the instructions to be followed and the instructions to be given to learners before and during the test. In addition, the following quality checks are established: Teachers to conduct the test are identified and trained to ensure that they have thorough knowledge of the test administration procedures; Before embarking on test administration; each test administrator is required to do a simulation of test administration to familiarize with the instructions and some of the adaptations done considered the following aspect; Presentation of the test items; Response mode; Timing; Setting and Test scheduling.

3.2.2 During Test Administration

All tests were adapted for the specific disabilities. The test administrator administered the test, each paper at a time to individual learners according to the instructions given. Tests were done in the morning only and marking of the tests in the afternoon.

The test administrator ensured that the environment was conducive for testing i.e. had no distractors; Created rapport with the learner to ensure no test anxiety; Sought the learners consent verbally before the start of the test and let the learners freely agree to do the test; Explained to learners what the exercise was all about to call for informed consent. Each test administrator had a stop watch for timed tasks.

3.2.2.1 English Test

The test administrators ensured that all details were correctly filled before starting the test. Emphasis was on the test instructions and prompts were given where necessary. The learner was timed in some of the tasks and others are not timed. In EGRA test the learner was expected to do the oral reading passage within 80 seconds. The test administrator was required to have a stop watch and instruct the learner when to start the test and when to stop. The test administrator was required ensure the learner understood the instructions before starting the test. The learner gave oral response for English. The test administrator was expected to do some examples together with the learner. The test administrator noted the learner's response in English and record. In case the learner was not able to read a word, the test administrator would read for the learner and ask him or her to continue. If the learner was not able to read any word in the sentence correctly, reading was discontinued. The test administrator indicated time remaining on stop watch at completion for those who finished reading correctly early and those who were stopped after the first line.

3.2.2.2 Maths Test

The learner was instructed to write all the required details before starting the test. The test administrator ensured that the learner understood the test instructions before starting. The learner worked and answered the questions in the spaces provided.

3.2.3 After Test Administration

The tests were administered and marked jointly by the teachers, coaches and the KISE officers. The coaches guided the marking and the scoring since they had a prior knowledge of the scoring sheets. They had used them previously. After marking the marks were entered in the mark list which were provided by the EDT together with the scoring sheets. The marked scripts, mark lists and observation check lists were well packaged and taken to Nairobi for analysis.

3.3 Data Analysis

The data collected on mark lists and scoring sheets was digitized on excel worksheets. Descriptive data summaries were generated.

4.0 FINDINGS

4.1 Introduction

Table 6 presents the distribution of 191 learners who took part in this assessment. There were 95 (49.7%) learners in primary school, and 96 (50.3%) learners in secondary school. In terms of disability categories, there were 90 (47%) learners with hearing impairment, 70 (37%) learners with visual impairment, and 31 (16%) learners with physical disabilities. All disability categories except physical disabilities were represented at primary and secondary level. There were 69 (36%) male and 122 (64%) female learners.

	Class 6	Class 7	Class 8	Form 1	Form 2	Form 3	TOTAL
Primary	33	27	35				95
HI	13	6	14				33
PH	11	10	10				31
VI	9	11	11				31
Secondary				25	43	28	96
HI				15	26	16	57
VI				10	17	12	39
TOTAL	33	27	35	25	43	28	191

Table 6: Distribution of learners in with SNE in the study sample

4.2 Learners with Physical Disabilities

As presented in **Table 6**, there were 9 learners with physical disabilities in class 6, 11 in class 7 and 10 in class 8. Thus, this section presents results for 31 learners with physical disabilities in class 6, class 7 and class 8.

4.2.1 Numeracy scores for learners with physical disabilities

Figure 2 presents average scores in the seven skill areas; the results suggest that on average, leaners with physical disabilities performed better in quantity discrimination, number identification and addition where they posted an average score of 78 percent, 72 percent and 62 percent respectively. On the other hand, these learners scored average marks in missing numbers, subtraction and written exercises and scored poorly in word problem at 32 percent. The results suggest that learners with physical disabilities in class 6 experience significant difficulties in word problem.



Figure 2: Average scores in numeracy for learners with PH in class 6

The distribution of scores across the seven skill areas is presented in *Table 7*. The results suggest that class 6 learners with physical disabilities experience profound difficulties in word problem where 55 percent of learners could not score anything. It was also found that 27 percent were unable to score anything in written exercises and 18 percent of learners did not score anything in numeracy tasks on subtraction. On a positive note, it was found that learners with physical disabilities performed better in quantity discrimination and number identification.

SKILL AREA	SCORE RANGE						
	0	1-40	41 - 80	81 - 100	TOTAL		
Number Identification	1(9%)	1(9%)	3(27%)	6(55%)	11(100%)		
Quantity Discrimination	0(0%)	0(0%)	6(55%)	5(45%)	11(100%)		
Missing Number	0(0%)	3(27%)	5(45%)	3(27%)	11(100%)		
Addition	1 (9%)	3(27%)	0(0%)	7(64%)	11(100%)		
Subtraction	2(18%)	2(18%)	3(27%)	4(36%)	11(100%)		
Written Exercise	3(27%)	2(18%)	1(9%)	5(45%)	11(100%)		
Word Problem	6(55%)	0(0%)	3(27%)	2(18%)	11(100%)		

Table 7: Distribution of numeracy scores for learners with PH in class 6

Class 7 and class eight learners with physical disabilities were given the same numeracy test which had three sub-tasks. Sub-task 1 had ten questions (No. 1-10) comprising of basic arithmetic operations and simple geometry related questions. Sub-task 2 had five questions (No. 1 - 5) comprising basic algebra while sub-task three had four questions {No. 1, No. 2, No. 3, No. 4}. Sub-task 1 and Sub-task 2 were marked out of 100% while in sub-task three, each question was marked out of 100%. *Figure 3* shows the average score in each sub-task for learners with physical disabilities in class 7 and class 8. The results suggest that learners experienced

significant difficulties solving question 1 and question 2 of sub-task 3 where the average score for each of the two classes was 0 percent. Further, the highest average mark was recorded at 26.7 percent in class 7 in sub-task 3 question 3. The general observation based on the average score suggest to a possibility that class 8 learners have poorer numeracy skills compared to class 7 learners.



Figure 3: Average scores in numeracy for learners with PH in class 7 and 8

To further understand the distribution of numeracy score for learners with physical disabilities in class 7 and 8, achievement distribution matrix was conducted are results presented in **Table 8**. The results indicate that at least 50% of learners with physical disabilities could not score anything (scored 0%) in all the sub-tasks, and none scored 80% in any of the numeracy sub-tasks. These results point to a potential deficiency in the acquisition of numeracy skills among learners with physical disabilities.

SUB-TASKS		SCORE RANGE						
	0	1 - 40	41 - 80	81 - 100	TOTAL			
Subtask 1 (No 1-10)	10(50%)	8(40%)	2(10%)	0(0%)	20(100%)			
Subtask 2 (No 1-5)	18(90%)	2(10%)	0(0%)	0(0%)	20(100%)			
Subtask 3 (No. 1)	20(100%)	0(0%)	0(0%)	0(0%)	20(100%)			
Subtask 3 (No. 2)	20(100%)	0(0%)	0(0%)	0(0%)	20(100%)			
Subtask 3 (No. 3)	13(65%)	2(10%)	5(25%)	0(0%)	20(100%)			
Subtask 3 (No. 4)	17(85%)	3(15%)	0(0%)	0(0%)	20(100%)			

Table 8: Distribution of numeracy scores for learner with PH in class 7 and 8

4.2.2 Literacy scores for learners with physical disabilities

Learners with physical disabilities were given reading tasks. The tasks involved reading on 50 invented words, 50 familiar words, and a passage of 174 words, and the learner was expected to read all the words. The results presented in *Figure 4* show that at most 27.3% of learners are able to read all invented words, and at most 18.2% of learners are can read all the familiar words. It was also observed that the percentage of learners who read all words diminishes as learner progress from class 6, 7 to class 8. Further, it was observed that across all the classes, learners read more of invented words and less of familiar words, none of the class was able to read at least half (50% and above) of the number of words given.



Figure 4: Average scores for learners with PH in reading invented and familiar words

Passage reading was conducted in two distinct sessions. The first session of passage reading was timed while the second session of passage reading was untimed. During timed passage reading session, a learner would be given a specified amount of time to read a passage and they would be stopped when the time elapses and the number of words read is recorded. During untimed passage reading session, a learner was to read freely and the session ended only when either the learner completed reading or could not read at all. There were a set of comprehension questions to be answered after every passage reading session. *Figure 5* presents the results of the passage reading and response to comprehension questions in both sessions.

It was found that the percentage of learners who are able to read the whole passage with 174 words is only 18.2 percent in class 6 and none in class 7 and class 8. Additionally, the results suggest that there is a significant improvement in the number of words learners with disabilities could read when there are no time restrictions. For instance, class 6 improves from reading an average of 37 percent of the words when timed to an average of 44 percent of the words when not timed. Similar trends are observed for class 7 with an increase from 30 percent to 43

percent, and a much more significant improvement for class 8 who improved from an average of 24 percent to 56 percent.

Similarly, learners with physical disabilities were able to answer more questions when after reading a passage without timing compared to when they are timed in reading. For instance, class 7 improved from an average of 18 percent to an average of 30 percent, the trend that was observed in class 8 with an improvement from 18 percent to 40 percent.







To assess the trend in each of the literacy skill area for learners with physical disabilities, scatter plots were fit on each skill area and simple linear regression models included. The linearity assumption was based on the expectations that given the same test, learners in higher grades or classes would perform better than those in lower classes or forms (See scatter plots in annex 1). The results suggest that the improvement in literacy skills (reading and comprehension) among learners with physical disabilities improve by a margin of at most 8% as these learners move from one class to the next class.

The results presented in *Table* 9 show more than one-third (30%) of learners with physical disabilities are unable to read any written text. Additionally, learners with physical disability struggle more with comprehension compared to reading.

TASK	SCORE RANGE						
	0	1 - 40	41 - 80	81 - 100	Total		
Number of Invented Words	12(39%)	4(13%)	5(0.16)	10(32%)	31(100%)		
Number of Familiar Words	12(29%)	7(23%)	4(13%)	8(26%)	31(100%)		
Timed	19(61%)	5(16%)	4(13%)	3(10%)	31(100%)		
Words Read in Oral Passage Timed Questions Answered in Oral Passage	11(35%)	9(29%)	7(0.23)	4(13%)	31(100%)		
Untimed Words Read in Oral Passage	15(48%)	6(19%)	4(13%)	6(19%)	31(100%)		
Untimed	12(39%)	4(13%)	4(13%)	11(35%)	31(100%)		

Table 9: Distribution of literacy scores for learners with PH

4.3 Learners with Visual Impairments

As presented in **Table 6**, there were 9 learners with visual impairments in class 6, 11 in class 7, 11 in class 8, 10 in form 1, 17 in form 2 and 12 in form 3. Thus, this section presents results for 70 learners with visual impairments in class 6, class 7 and class 8.

4.3.1 Numeracy scores for learners with visual impairments

Figure 6 presents average scores in the seven skill areas; the results suggest that on average, leaners with visual impairments performed better in quantity discrimination, number identification, subtraction and addition where the average score above 90 percent. It was also noted that learners with visual impairments had relatively poorer achievement outcomes in word problem with an average of 39 percent. The results suggest that learners with visual impairments in class 6 experience significant difficulties in word problem.



Figure 6: Average scores in numeracy for learners with VI in class 6

The distribution of scores among across the seven skill areas is presented in *Table 10*. The results suggest that class 6 learners with visual impairments experience profound difficulties in word problem where 44 percent of learners could not score anything (scored 0%). On a positive note, it was found that none of learners with visual impairments scored below 40 percent in number identification, quantity discrimination, missing numbers, addition, subtraction and written exercise.

SKILL AREA	SCORE RANGE						
	0	1 - 40	41 - 80	81 - 100	Total		
Number Identification	0(0%)	0(0%)	0(0%)	9(100%)	9(100%)		
Quantity Discrimination	0(0%)	0(0%)	0(0%)	9(100%)	9(100%)		
Missing Number	0(0%)	0(0%)	3(33%)	6(0.67)	9(100%)		
Addition	0(0%)	0(0%)	2(22%)	7(0.78)	9(100%)		
Subtraction	0(0%)	0(0%)	1(11%)	8(0.89)	9(100%)		
Written Exercise	0(0%)	0(0%)	4(44%)	5(0.56)	9(100%)		
Word Problem	4(44%)	0(0%)	3(33%)	2(0.22)	9(100%)		

Table 10: Distribution of numeracy scores for learners with VI in class 6

Class 7, class 8, form 1, form 2 and form 3 learners with visual impairments were given the same numeracy test which had three sub-tasks. Sub-task 1 had ten questions (No. 1-10) comprising of basic arithmetic operations and simple geometry related questions. Sub-task 2 had five questions (No. 1 - 5) comprising basic algebra while sub-task three had four questions {No. 1, No. 2, No. 3, No. 4}. Sub-task 1 and Sub-task 2 were marked out of 100% while in sub-task three, each question was marked out of 100%. Figure 7 shows the average score in each

sub-task for learners with visual impairments in class 7, class 8, form 1, form 2 and form 3. The results suggest that the highest score was recorded at 59 percent in form 2 in question 3 of sub-task 3. There was low achievement in sub-task 3 question 1 where none of the learners in class 7, class 8, form 1 and form 3 could score and an average score of 6 percent in form 2.



Figure 7: Average scores in numeracy for learners with VI from class 7- form 3

To further understand the distribution of numeracy score for learners with visual impairments from class 7 to form 3, achievement distribution matrix was conducted are results presented in **Table 11**. The results suggest that majority of learners with visual impairments struggle with numeracy skills such as basic arithmetic, geometry, and algebra. For instance, 98 percent of learners did not score anything in question 1 of sub-task 3, 92 percent failed in question 2 of sub-task 3 and 23 percent could not score anything in sub-task 1 which was on basic arithmetic operations.

Table 11: Distribution of numera	y scores for learner wit	ith VI from Class 7 - form
----------------------------------	--------------------------	----------------------------

SUB-TASK	SCORE RANGE						
	0	1 - 40	41 - 80	81 - 100	Total		
Subtask 1 (No 1-10)	14(23%)	27(0.44)	19(31%)	1(0.02)	61(100%)		
Subtask 2 (No 1-5)	49(80%)	9(15%)	3(5%)	0(0%)	61(100%)		
Subtask 3 (No. 1)	60(98%)	0(0%)	0(0%)	1(2%)	61(100%)		
Subtask 3 (No. 2)	56(92%)	0(0%)	1(2%)	4(7%)	61(100%)		
Subtask 3 (No. 3)	24(39%)	8(13%)	21(34%)	8(13%)	61(100%)		
Subtask 3 (No. 4)	36(59%)	16(26%)	4(7%)	5(8%)	61(100%)		

4.3.2 Literacy scores for learners with visual impairments

Learners with visual impairments from class 6 to form 3 were given reading tasks. The tasks involved reading on 50 invented words, 50 familiar words, and a passage of 174 words, and the learner was expected to read all the words. The results presented in **Figure 8** show that learners with visual impairments in secondary schools read more invented words compared for those in primary schools. For instance, the form 2 read the highest number of invented words at an average of 90 percent while form 3 read an average of 78 percent of all the invented words. This is on average higher than primary school classes whose achievement outcome ranged between 66% of the words by class 6 and 62 percent of the words by class 8. Further, the percentage of learners who were able to read all the invented words was less than 30% of the class. The results show a non-linear relationship between grade level and reading abilities among learners with visual impairments. For instance, while it was expected that learners in class 8 would read more words than classes 6 and 7, the results show that only 9.1 percent of class read learners could read all words compared to 15.4 percent of class 6 who read all invented words compared to 30 percent of form 1 class who read all words.



Figure 8: Average scores of reading invented words by learners with VI

Achievement outcome on reading of familiar words for learners with VI is presented in *Figure 9*. The results show that there was an improvement among class 6 learners regarding the number of familiar words they read compared to the number of invented words previously presented in **Figure 8** from 66 percent to 71 percent. Except for class 6, the results show that learners in all classes read fewer familiar words compared to invented words. Additionally, the percentage of learners who could read all familiar words was found to be equal of less that the percentage of learners who could read invented words. The most notable trend was in form where 30 percent of the class could read all invented words as shown in **Figure 8** but only 10 percent were able to read all words as shown in **Figure 9**.



Figure 9: Average scores of reading familiar words by learners with VI

Passage reading was conducted in two distinct sessions. The first session of passage reading was timed while the second session of passage reading was untimed. During timed passage reading session, a learner would be given a specified amount of time to read a passage and they would be stopped when the time elapses and the number of words read is recorded. During untimed passage reading session, a learner was to read freely and the session ended only when either the learner completed reading or could not read at all. *Figure 10* presents a summary of average scores of percentages of words read by learners with visual impairments during timed passage reading session. The results show that at most 11.1 percent of learners were able to read all the words in the passage in class 6, none in class 7, 9.1 percent in class 8, 10 percent in form 1. 5.9 percent in form 2 and 8.3 percent in form 3. On average, it was found that learners in secondary schools are able to read more words compared to those I primary school



as the average score from secondary school ranged between 51 and 76 percent while average scores for primary schools ranges between 40 and 52 percent.

Figure 10: Average scores of reading a timed oral passage by learners with VI

The results on reading of a passage in untimed setting are presented in *Figure 11*. The results suggest significant improvement in the average number of words learners read when untimed. For instance, in primary schools the average scores improved from between 40-52 percent presented in *Figure 10* to between 70 – 85 percent as shown in *Figure 11*. Similar improvements were observed in form 1, form 2 and form 3 learners. Further, there were notable improvement in the average number of learners who are able to complete reading all the words when not timed. For instance, in class 6, there was a significant improvement from 11.1 percent to almost also of the class at 44.4 percent of the class who completed reading the passage given, form 1 improved from 10 to 20 percent. However, it was noted that in class 8, there was a drop in the percentage of learners who read all words when not timed from 9 to 0 percent.



Figure 11: Average scores of reading an untimed oral passage by learners with VI

There were a set of comprehension questions to be answered after every passage reading session. As presented in Figure 12, there is a general improvement in the number of questions answered when a passage is read in a freer environment for learners with visual impairment across all classes. For instance, the average scores on the passage read when timed range between 22 and 43 percent while the average scores on the passage when not timed range between 48 and 63 percent. Additionally, it was found that on overall, comprehension level increase with increases marginally yet progressively among learners with visual impairments.



Figure 12: Average scores on comprehension questions by learners with VI

To assess the trend in each of the literacy skill area for learners with visual impairments, scatter plots were fit on each skill area and simple linear regression models included. The linearity assumption was based on the expectations that given the same test, learners in higher grades or classes would perform better than those in lower classes or forms (See scatter plots in annex 2). The results suggest that the improvement in literacy skills (reading and comprehension) among learners with visual impairments improve by a margin of at most 3% as these learners move from one class to the next class.

The results presented in **Table 12** show that learners with visual impairment are able to read more of words and may have challenges when reading continuous passage. For instance, 63 percent of learners with VI were to read between 81 - 100 percent of invented words, 49 percent of learners with VI were able to read between 81 - 100 percent of familiar words. In contrast, only 30 percent of the same learners were able to read between 81 - 100 percent of the words in a continuous passage when timed. However, it was observed that when the same learners are given more time to read without time restrictions, 84 percent of the class could read between 81 - 100 percent of the words in the passage. Further, it was found that when timed, only 4 percent of learners with VI could score between 81 - 10 percent.

TASK	SCORE RANGE					
	0	1 - 40	41 - 80	81 - 100	Total	
Number of Invented Words	3(4%)	8(11%)	15(21%)	44(63%)	70(100%)	
Number of Familiar Words Questions answered in	5(7%)	13(19%)	18(26%)	34(49%)	70(100%)	
after a timed oral passage Words Read in Oral	9(13%)	35(50%)	23(33%)	3(4%)	70(100%)	
Passage Timed Questions answered after	4(6%)	20(29%)	25(26%)	21(30%)	70(100%)	
an untimed oral passage Words Read in Oral	7(10%)	19(27%)	27(39%)	17(24%)	70(100%)	
Passage Untimed	4(6%)	3(4%)	4(6%)	59(84%)	70(100%)	

Table 12: Distribution of literacy scores for learners with VI

4.4 Learners with Hearing Impairments

As presented in Table 6, there were 13 learners with hearing impairments in class 6, 6 in class, 14 in class 8, 15 in form 1, 26 in form 2 and 16 in form 3. Thus, this section presents results for 90 learners with hearing impairments in class 6, class 7, class 8, form 1, form 2 and form 3.

4.4.1 Numeracy scores for learners with hearing impairments

Figure 13 presents average scores in the seven skill areas; the results suggest that on average, leaners with hearing impairments perform better in quantity discrimination (79%), subtraction tasks (73%) and number identification (63%). On the other hand, written exercises (41%) and word problem (8%) seem to pose significant difficulties to learners with hearing impairments.



Figure 13: Average scores in numeracy for learners with HI in class 6

To further understand the distribution of achievement outcomes for learners with hearing impairments in class 6, distribution matrix was computed and results presented in Table 13. The results confirm that 69 percent of learners with HI scored between 81 - 100 percent in quantity discrimination and 54 percent scored between 81 - 100 percent in subtraction. Additionally, 92 percent of learners with HI could not score anything in word problem.

Table 13: Average scores in numeracy for learners with HI in class o						
SKILL AREA	SCORE RANGE					
	0	1 - 40	41 - 80	81 - 100	Total	
Number Identification	1(8%)	3(23%)	4(31%)	5(38%)	13(100%)	
Quantity Discrimination	1(8%)	0(0%)	3(23%)	9(69%)	13(100%)	
Missing Number	1(8%)	1(8%)	7(54%)	4(31%)	13(100%)	
Addition	0(0%)	5(38%)	2(15%)	6(46%)	13(100%)	
Subtraction	0(0%)	3(23%)	3(23%)	7(54%)	13(100%)	
Written Exercise	2(15%)	4(31%)	6(46%)	1(8%)	13(100%)	
Word Problem	12(92%)	0(0%)	0(0%)	1(8%)	13(100%)	

Class 7, class 8, form 1, form 2 and form 3 learners with hearing impairments were given the same numeracy test which had three sub-tasks. Sub-task 1 had ten questions (No. 1-10) comprising of basic arithmetic operations and simple geometry related questions. Sub-task 2 had five questions (No. 1 - 5) comprising basic algebra while sub-task three had four questions {No. 1, No. 2, No. 3, No. 4}. Sub-task 1 and Sub-task 2 were marked out of 100% while in sub-task three, each question was marked out of 100%. Figure 14 shows that learners with hearing impairments across all the classes scored an average of less than 30 percent in all the sub-tasks. It was found that all learners with hearing impairments did score anything in question 1 of subtask 3 and all except form 2 could not score anything in question 2 of subtask 3. The highest scores ranging from 17.3 to 23.8 percent were recorded in subtask 1.



Figure 14: Average scores in numeracy for learners with HI from class 7- form 3

Table 14 shows the distribution of scores for learners with hearing impairments in class 7, class 8, form 1, form 2 and form 3. The results show that most (more than 70%) learners with hearing impairments were unable to score anything (scored 0) and over 85 percent of learners scored below 40% in different numeracy skills.

SUBTASK	SCORE RANGE					
	0	1 - 40	41 - 80	81 - 100	Total	
Subtask 1 (No 1-10)	20(26%)	47(61%)	9(12%)	1(1%)	77(100%)	
Subtask 2 (No 1-5)	66(86%)	10(13%	1(1%)	0(0%)	77(100%)	
Subtask 3 (No. 1)	77(100%)	0(0%)	0(0%)	0(0%)	77(100%)	
Subtask 3 (No. 2)	75(97%)	0(0%)	1(1%)	1(1%)	77(100%)	
Subtask 3 (No. 3)	61(79%)	8(10%)	6(8%)	2(3%)	77(100%)	
Subtask 3 (No. 4)	57(74%)	16(21%)	3(4%)	1(1%)	77(100%)	

Table 14: Distribution of numeracy scores for learner with HI from Class 7 - form 3

4.4.2 Literacy scores for learners with hearing impairments

Learners with hearing impairments from class 6 to form 3 were given reading tasks. The tasks involved reading on 50 invented words, 50 familiar words, and a passage of 174 words, and the learner was expected to read all the words. The results presented Figure 15 shows that on average, secondary school learners with HI are able to read more invented words compared to those in primary schools. This is because the achievement outcomes in secondary range between 74 and 88 percent, and between 47 and 77 percent in primary. However, it is curious to note that the highest scored of 88 percent in secondary school was recorded in form 1 while form 2 and form 3 scored the same mark on average. Similarly, form 1 class had the highest number of learners who read all invented words at 40 percent followed by those in class 8 at 35.7 percent



Figure 15: Average scores of reading invented words by learners with HI

The average score on the reading of familiar words by learners with hearing impairments is present in Figure 16. The results suggest that there is a significant drop on the average number of familiar words read compared to invented words. For instance, in primary schools, the average score dropped from between 47 and 77 percent as presented in Figure 15 to between 3 and 23 percent. Similarly, in secondary school, there was a drop from between 74 and 88 percent to between 37 and 55 percent. However, the percentage of numbers who were able to read all words remained relatively the same except for class 8 which recorded the highest drop from 35 to 14 percent. These results suggests that most learners with hearing impairments have significant difficulties reading familiar words.



Figure 16: Average scores of reading familiar words by learners with HI

Passage reading was conducted in two distinct sessions. The first session of passage reading was timed while the second session of passage reading was untimed. During timed passage reading session, a learner would be given a specified amount of time to read a passage and they would be stopped when the time elapses and the number of words read is recorded. During untimed passage reading session, a learner was to read freely and the session ended only when either the learner completed reading or could not read at all. As presented in **Figure 17**, the results suggest a wide achievement gaps in reading abilities between learners with hearing impairments in primary and secondary schools. For instance, the average scores of the number of words read in passage when timed for learners with hearing impairments in primary school range between 10 and 21 percent, while in secondary school the range is between 46 and 53 percent. Further, it was noted that there was no learner between class 6 and form 3 who was able to read all the words in the given passage correctly.



Figure 17: Average scores of reading a timed oral passage by learners with HI

Figure 18 presents average reading scores for learners with hearing impairments from class 6 to form 3. The results suggest a significant improvement in the average number of words read in the passage for all learners with hearing impairments when reading an untimed text. For instance, in primary schools, the average scores improved from between 10 and 21 percent and presented in Figure 17 to between 15 and 49 percent. In secondary school, there was an improvement from between 46 to 53 percent as presented in Figure 17 to between 74 and 78 percent as presented in Figure 18.



Figure 18: Average scores of reading an untimed oral passage by learners with HI

There were a set of comprehension questions to be answered after every passage reading session. Figure 19 presents the average scores of questions answered by learners with hearing impairments when they answered after reading a timed passage and after reading an untimed

passage. As presented in Figure 19 there is a general improvement in the scores when learners read when untimed over when learners read timed. For instance, when learners with HI are timed, the score on comprehension questions range between 3 and 23 percent. When learners with HI are not timed the average score on the comprehension questions range between 19 and 54 percent.



Figure 19: Average scores on comprehension questions by learners with HI

To assess the trend in each of the literacy skill area for learners with hearing impairments, scatter plots were fit on each skill area and simple linear regression models included. The linearity assumption was based on the expectations that given the same test, learners in higher grades or classes would perform better than those in lower classes or forms (See scatter plots in annex 3). The results suggest that the improvement in literacy skills (reading and comprehension) among learners with hearing impairments improve by a margin of at most 5% as these learners move from one class to the next class.

The results presented in **Table 15** show that learners with hearing impairment are able to read more of words and may have challenges when reading continuous passage. For instance, 46 percent of learners with hearing impairments scored between 81 and 100 percent of the invented words while only 4 percent of the learners scored between 81 and 100 percent of the familiar words. When timed, only 6 percent of learners with hearing impairment were able to read over 80 percent of the words in the passage. When learners are not timed, 16 percent where able to read over 80 of the words in the passage. Table 15: Distribution of literacy scores for learners with HI

TASK			SCORE RANGE		
	0	1 - 40	41 - 80	81 - 100	Total
Number of Invented Words	1(1%)	8(9%)	40(44%)	41(46%)	90(100%)
Number of Familiar Words	2(2%)	46(51%)	38(42%)	4(4%)	90(100%)
after a timed oral passage Words Read in Oral	38(42%)	32(36%)	14(16%)	6(7%)	90(100%)
Passage Timed	2(2%)	51(57%)	32(36%)	5(6%)	90(100%)
an untimed oral passage	24(27%)	27(3%)	25(28%)	14(16%)	90(100%)
Passage Untimed	1(1%)	24(27%)	31(34%)	34(38%)	90(100%)

5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Recommendations for Action by the Government of Kenya

- The ministry of Education needs to enforce policy on teacher-learner ratio for various disabilities in order to address the current challenge of learners with severe to profound disabilities and multiple disabilities in most schools. This would make it possible to address specific learning needs of individual learners within the classroom.
- Post specially trained teachers with diverse subject combination to address current challenge in most special secondary and primary schools without specialist in SNE.
- The ministry of education and teachers service commission to staff EARCs with adequate staff to assist in early identification, assessment and intervention in reading and arithmetic as well as other special needs.
- Ensure there is adequate supply of reading materials and resources to facilitate teaching and learning in schools.
- Provide infrastructure with adapted ICT resources to support teaching and learning of numeracy and literacy skills
- Enforce policy on development and implementation of IEP to cater for individual learning needs of SNE learners
- KNEC to review policy on adaptations of National Examinations in order to facilitate individualised timing, for better test items adaptation and adaptations of instructions and candidates' responses.
- Facilitate School based teacher development in specialised areas like braille and sign language for improved performance in literacy and numeracy.
- Build capacity of teachers in classroom practices that support development of learners' foundational reading and numeracy skills.

5.2 Recommendations for Action by the Teachers

- Teachers to form subject panels at school level to discuss and address numeracy and literacy gaps
- Teachers to use innovation and creativity to adapt learning resources and methodologies to suit specific needs of learners with special needs and disabilities while teaching numeracy and literacy.
- Teachers to introduce healthy competitions with a reward system to motivate learners' interests in numeracy and literacy
- Teachers to cover foundation concepts before introducing advanced concepts in numeracy and literacy
- Teachers to develop IEPs for all learners with focus on task analysis and other approaches suitable for learners with special needs. This will allow learner to move at their own pace
- Ensure adequate time is allocated to daily practice and mastery of decoding familiar words as well as basic mathematical facts and operations.
- To address poor performance in word problems, teachers should train learners in word problem solving through guided practice.

5.3 Recommendations for Action by the Civil Society Organizations

- It is recommended that interested stakeholders and NGOs be engaged further to support early identification, assessment and school placement.
- NGOs support in provision of teaching and learning resources especially for learners with severe to profound disabilities.
- Non-state education stakeholders to complement government efforts by participating in infrastructure development, family support and provision of resources for capacity building.

5.4 Recommendations for WWW (EDT)

- Develop teacher capacity on support of learners with severe and multiple disabilities.
- Provide adapted assistive devices to support learning.
- Avail learner support for learners with severe and multiple disabilities.
- Support extended learning activities at home for all the learners.
- Provide adequate learning resource tailored to meet specific learning needs for learners.
- Develop specialized remediation programs for learners with reading difficulties guided by individualized education program (IEP).
- Support correct school placement for learner with disabilities through educational assessment and re assessment in schools.
- Support training of teachers through Inservice programme.
- Support early identification and intervention services for learner with disabilities.
- Lobby for implementation of the recommendation of the project by concerned agencies.

ANNEXURE



Annex 1: Scatter Plots and Linear Model Fits for Literacy Skills among Learners with PH









Annex 2: Scatter Plots and Linear Model Fits for Literacy Skills among Learners with VI





Annex 3: Scatter Plots and Linear Model Fits for Literacy Skills among Learners with HI



Girls' Education Education Development

COUNTY: MON	ABA	PA Wasishana	Challe Wetu Wataulu	nge men me fremen recorde		
Activity TEST AD MISTRATION TRAINING						
Venue: PRIDE INN HOTEL	~		Data 7/3/20	20		
1 TEL	SEX	SCHOOL/DEPT	MOBILE CONTACT	EMAIL CONTACT	SIGNATUF	
FELIX MWOVA	m	PORT REITZ	0796248624	Kelvolesh equal com	##	
MARY SHALL	F	PORT REITZ	0726494635	maryshalizaogmail.com	Ros	
4. Washer MWARI	F	PORTREITZ	0710821760	yanushan Joshro @g.m	H-COM D-	
VICIORIA KANARIO	F	PORTREIT2	0729754594	Victoric Kanario Ogmail.	com the	
6 FILLA ABDALLA KHAMIS	F	LIKONISCHN.1	0711316798	abdallaaisha 178 Q. y 2400-	com Alado	
PELIX J. MWAGOYA	M	LIKONI V.I	0729 874513 1	mwaguyajaphet @ 9 mail. con	FJ.M	
SAMMY KIRKNGA	M	LIKONI V.I.	0722827072 .	Sammyeske q mail. com	s-k	
ANTHONY KIRETRA	M	LIKONI V.1.	0757283481	anthan ikercing @9 mail .co	AK.	
10 FLORENCE NDINDA	F	LIKONI V.I	0721635535	Flowersseassonal aquail.	on It.	
11 Pascal MILLARA	M	LIKONI V.I	0707391185	Mwgkaz1420@ amail.com	n aR.	
12 ELIZABETH RONOH	F	COACHIEDT	0727302323	Crossh Deducation devetoment to ct a	and Ponto	
13 JULIUS NGOMBO	M	COACH EST.	0713198318	ngombo 59 Qrahoo.com	the	
14 MARIAM SULEIMAN	F	COACH EDT	0713285172	Saldney an 309 Q Q. mail . Com	NACI	
15 Occurs sprend	M	RC-TORKAND	0700464467	today @ adreatiside alion	e structure Dr'	
15 Mange port Hange	V	What Region Re	0120971686	mushocolucabonalertrist	an 1	
12 MARCAPACEL KENTAL	7	Ed Dev	0797561350		tak-	
When Kanging	T	Edu Der-	0728809587	Name not a togation	and and	
Coenclius MuthingA	M	KISE	0717693137	- my concerendere	formatic Note	
DAN P. HWAGA	M	1×1SE	0720413225	proceeding a suc	ul con	
-TOIA KAIRANYA	F	KIJE	0722429396	lis'	The sel	
			1210	1 mineryale kur ac. Ke	lant !	

ATTENDANCE LIST

20.

KILIFI COUNT	pm	ent Wasichana	Girls Educa Challe	tion Ukaid ukaid	
Activity: TEST SDMINE Venue: PRIDE IN Hot	STRA	FLOOT TROTT	Date 7/3/2 MOBILE CONTACT	0 2-0 EMAIL CONTACT	SIGN
1 C	JLA	School/Deri	070211-074	S malons motion med eg mail-com	At the
AIDA MOHAMED	F	Coach	0726630956	MishikazunguoQgmail. Com	- AR I
MILSHI KAZUNGU	+	YEDE SPECIAL	07230578304	http:// 2010 Oyahos. Com	6
3. HERRON IHOTA	M	KAKUTUN STEEN	0717589005	la lung Kog gmail.com	-PHP=
4. PRISCILLAR KARISA	F	MIDA	01108000	privale 376 @gmail.com	AN
S. NOAT M. NGANGA	M	GEDE SPECH	012012030	drafula 85 ana mailium	X
6. DORCUS NAFULA USWA	F	KAKUYUNI SPE	0714010330	Appmwayele @ gmail	the
7. DENALD K. MWATELE	M	KARUYUNI SPE	012013112	abustion @ amail. am	10th
8. ABIO REWBEN WAYY	M	KAKUYUNI SPE	0124619511	Illam Vaf@a mail.Or	CHILLE
9. KAFAHAM A. JILANI	M	GEDE SPEC	0/2/14200-4	Perseceching & a mail : Com	THE
10. PATIENCE CHIEN NYALE	F	GEDE SPEC	0121811004	amin tripal too 170 Quebo	N
11. AMIN KIFALFAN	101	MARINDALI	0121005201	Company and a company	
12.				1 mel	
13.				100	
14.				\$	
15.	-			5 .80	
16.			1 ofte	nal	
17.	-		Che	K'	
18.					
19.			1	×°	
20.					

Annex 4: Attendance List for Test Administration Training

COUNTY: MOMBASA	wasichar	Educa Challe a Wetu Wafaulu	enge Ukai	d
Activity Test ADMINIST	RATION TRAI	NING		
Venue: PRIDE INN HOTE	-L	Date: 83202	هر	
CHADIE MUCH	SEX SCHOOL/DEPT	MOBILE CONTACT	EMAIL CONTACT	D COLO DAL
Knowen & Count	M LIKUNI VI	0728,9420	Maruchegnau Gm- 170- F	Com Attul
RAYMONS 2D UOR	M LIKON VI	0714 424 724	raymon 2 oduor 98,	Ognilion Rate
DAINAH II N. OKUTU	F LIKONI VI	0727.071428	dianghokutu agmo	il con Der
7110mas salen	M LICONI VI M TIPAL	076046446-	Sephotieno26 Ogi	mailfor Ause
MWANZA COUNTINS	M KISE.	0717693137	Corn newsura (2) 5	sail Com du
LIDIA KAIRANYA	F KISE	6722429396	kain-70 Q Kispie	ac.ke.
DAN P. Hunda	M Bdu Der. mor	DEDNUESDIC	Hayner Opelicatorde	clormettrust the
UTRISTOPITOR LENGURIS	M KISE	0720923099	Lenguriscia Kise.	ac Ke (11K a
Johannah Mwen	M RISE	0721446429	mulije kise. ac	· Ke
Marian Sil	E CONCH	0712795774	Migg@kise.ac	we hom
Regina Hounge	F Cast-var	0720 926K6	This had a mail and	2 1St
Margaret Kaulau	F Ed Dev	079756130		ald
	Confirmed b	1 Nation Sie	and	
	Senor C	each Mom	aga - (puntry	
/	8/2/202:02			
ATTENDANCE LIST	tion	Girls'		~
ATTENDANCE LIST GUILAN GUILAN Activity: TEST ODMINUS	tion pment Wasichar ເຂຄົາວວ່າຂ	Girls' Educa Challe a Wetu Wafaulu	ation enge	
ATTENDANCE LIST COUNT Educat Develo Trust Activity: TEST ODMINIS Venue: PRIDE INN DD TO	ion pment (αρτιομ) ΠR. στ Μονιβασι	Girls' Educa Challe a Wetu Wafautu a Wetu Wafautu Date 8/3/20	ation enge uka	
ATTENDANCE LIST COJANNE Educate Develo Trust Activity: TEST BOMINIS Venue: PRIDE INN BD TO NAME	tion prinent ເຂດັງດາກັງຂ ແຜງ SEX SCHOOL/DEPT	Girls' Educa Challe a Wetu Wafaulu STM (ATC Date 8[3[20 MOBILE CONTACT	etion enge	SIGNA
ATTENDANCE LIST County Educat Develo Trust Activity: TEST ODMINIS Venue: PRIDE INN BOTE NAME 1. COLLINS O. OTMANG	ion pment Wasichar i2οτιορ Τ R, στ ΜολβΑSI SEX SCHOOL/DEPT Μ Ρωίανίι ΡελτΞ 6 Οιλλίι ΡελτΞ	Girls' Educa Challe a Wetu Wataulu STAY (ACT Date: 8(3)20 MOBILE CONTACT 07270249271	etion enge 20 EMAIL CONTACT ochions allingg.	Signa mailcen
ATTENDANCE LIST COLUMN Activity: TEST ODMINIS Venue: PRIDE INN BOTE NAME COLUMNS O. OTMANG ELNIRA KARUMGA TRINER ATIENO	Lion pment Wasichar i20710) 7R, SEX SCHOOL/DEPT M PMANI DEAR F PWAMI DEAR	Girls' Educa Challe a Wetu Wafaulu a Wetu Wafaulu a Wetu Wafaulu a Wetu Wafaulu a Wetu Wafaulu b Challe b Challe a Wetu Wafaulu a Challe b Challe C	etion enge 20 EMAIL CONTACT ochiengedline@gi etwingkarvnga966 cathytrine@amail.	Signa Signa Signa Signa Signa Signa Signa Signa Signa Signa Signa Signa Signa
ATTENDANCE LIST COUNTY Educat Develo Trust Activity: TEST ODMINIS Venue: PRIDE INN DD.TE NAME 1. COLLINS O. OTMANG 2. ELVIRA KARVINGA TRINER ATIENO JOINT	Lion Prinent Wasichar iRATION TR. SEX SCHOOL/DEPT M DALANI DEA F PWANI DEA M PWANI DEAF	Girls' Educa Challe a Wetu Wafaulu Mobile CONTACT Date 8 200 MOBILE CONTACT 0729024271 0712494507 0712696126 0797436221	etion enge 20 EMAIL CONTACT ochiens colline gre e Hurreikary nga 960 cothydriner Ogmail- josshowiner Commit Com	Signa mail.com
ATTENDANCE LIST COUNTY Educat Develo Trust Activity: TEST ODMINIS Venue: PRIDE INN DD TO NAME COLLINS O. OTMANG ELVIRA KARUMGA TRINER ATIENO JOASH OMALA LILIAN ACHIENG OOKO Aroun Kingli	SEX SCHOOL/DEPT M PNLANI DEAF F PNANI DEAF F PNANI DEAF F PNANI DEAF F PNANI DEAF F PNANI DEAF	Girls' Educa Challe a Wetu Wafaulu otx (AT Date: 8 3 20 MOBILE CONTACT 07290240271 0712494507 0712494507 0715696126 0797436221 0797436221	2.0 EMAIL CONTACT OCHIERS COLLER GI e Inir cikary nga 960 Cothiers Colline Gy e Inir cikary nga 960 Cothiers Colline Gy inir cikary nga 960 Cothiers Colline Gy Cothiers Committee Cothiers Committee Cothiers Committee Cothiers Committee Cothiers Committee Cothiers Cothiers Committee Cothiers Cothiers Committee Cothiers Cothiers Committee Cothiers Cothie	signa mail.com og. mail.com Com Degr
ATTENDANCE LIST ATTENDANCE LIST ACTIVITY: TEST BOMIALS ACTIVITY: TEST BOMIALS Venue: PRIDE INA BOTE NAME COLLINS O. OTHENG ELSIRA KARUNGA TRINER ATTENO JOASH OMALA LIKIAN ACHIENG' OOKO Amin Khaljan PRISCILLAR H. KARISA	Tion prinent (20110) 7R. SEX SCHOOL/DEPT M PWAMI DEAT F PWAMI DEAT M PWAMI DEAT F PWAMI DEAT M PWAMI DEAT M MG21WAMI F MINA	Girls' Educa Challe a Wetu Wafaulu ort (AA Date 8(3)20 MOBILE CONTACT 07290249277 0712494567 0712494567 0715696726 0797436221 072930057 6727082057 6727082057	20 EMAIL CONTACT Ochians allier@gr elurraikary nga960 cothytriner@gmail. jershowinort@mail. jershowinort@mail. cominter@gmail.	SIGNA mailicen D og mailicen E com De og atooro m
ATTENDANCE LIST COUNTY Educat Develo Trust Activity: TEST ODMINIE Venue: PRIDE INN BD.TE NAME COLLINS O. OTMANG ELVIRA KARUMGA TRINER ATIENO JOASH OMALA MINIAN ACHIENG' OOKO AMM KNALJAM PRISCILLAR H. KARISA	Lion Prient Wasichan i2DTION TR. SEX SCHOOL/DEPT M DWANI DEAF F PWANI DEAF F PWANI DEAF F PWANI DEAF F PWANI DEAF F MIGA	Girls' Educa Challe a Wetu Wafaulu The (AT Date 8 2 20 MOBILE CONTACT 0729024271 0712494567 0712494567 0712696126 0797436221 0729302057 8727082251 0717589005	20 EMAIL CONTACT OChiang colline gri e Iurreikary ng a 960 rethytrin or Ogmail. ierschoeinogr Commil Com Illiano Koo Crahos - Ce amin Ishals - She haluwar Ogmail - Ce	SIGNA mailicen D ognailicen D com D gatazo m Jgatazo m
ATTENDANCE LIST COUNTY Educate Develo Trust Activity: TEST BOMINIE Venue: PRIDE INN BD TO NAME COLLINS O: OTHANG ELVIRA KARVMGA TRINER ATIENO JOASH OMALA LILIAN ACHIENG' OOKO AMIN KARJAAN PRISCILLAR H. KARISA	Lion prinent Wasicham iRETION TR. SEX SCHOOL/DEPT M DWANI DEAE F PWANI DEAE F PWANI DEAE F PWANI DEAE F PWANI DEAE F PWANI DEAE M PWANI DEAE F MILA	Girls' Educa Challe a Wetu Wafaulu STM (AT Date 8/3/20 MOBILE CONTACT 0729024271 0712494507 0715696726 0797436221 072932057 8727052251 0717589005	etion Enge 20 EMAIL CONTACT Ochiens colline gr e 14 17 eth ar v ng a 96 of Cothydrin or Ogmail. jostoorio or Commit Com Illarok colpho - Co amin 1 chals - ne haluwak og gmail - Co	SIGNA mail.com D com D m Jgdrovo m M
ATTENDANCE LIST County Educat Develo Trust Activity: TEST DOMINIS Venue: PRIDE INN DO TO NAME COLLINS O. OTMANG ELVIRA KARUMGA TRINER ATIENO JOASH OMALA LILIAN ACHIENG' OOKO Amin Khaldan PRISCILLAR H. KARISA	Sion pment Wasicham i267100 7R. SEX SCHOOL/DEPT M PMANI DEAF F PWANI DEAF F PWANI DEAF F PWANI DEAF F PWANI DEAF M MG210001 F MIGA	Girls' Educa Challe a Wetu Wafaulu STX (AT Date: 8 3 20 MOBILE CONTACT 07290240271 0712494507 0712494507 071426221 072932057 8127082251 0717589005	20 EMAIL CONTACT Ochians allier gr e Inir cikary nga 960 rathytrin or Ogmail. joshowing of Commil Com Illiano Koo Cpub or Co amin Ishalfonse haluwar og gmail Co for the start of the start haluwar og gmail Co	Signa mailican Signa og mailica sta com Deg m da patroso To m
ATTENDANCE LIST County Educat Develo Trust Activity: TEST BOMINIE Venue: PRIDE INN BOTE NAME COLLINS O. OTHANG ELVIRA KARUNGA TRINER ATIENO JOASH OMALA LINIAN ACHIENG' OOKO Amin Khaltan PRISCILLAR H. KARISA	ion pment Wasicham i20710) 7R. 5K SCHOOL/DEPT M DWANI DEAF F PWANI DEAF F PWANI DEAF F PWANI DEAF M MG21WM F MIGA	Girls' Educa Challe a Wetu Wataulu STAY (ACT Date: 8/3/20 MOBILE CONTACT 07270249277 0712494567 0712494567 07974362057 0737830057 07270832057 07270832057	20 EMAIL CONTACT OCHIEGO COLIERO GI e Hurchary nga 950 rathyrin or Ognail. forstowing of Commil Com Illiano Koo Ogna or Co aministration of haluwar og gmail Co G do Sci A	SIGNA mail.com og. mail.com Del mail.com Mel mail.com
ATTENDANCE LIST COUNTY Educat Develo Trust Activity: TEST ODMINIE Venue: PRIDEINN DD.TE NAME COLLINS O. OTMANG ELVIRA KARUMGA TRINER ATIENO JOASH OMALA LINIAN ACHIENG' OOKO Amin Khaldan PRISCILLAR H. KARISA	ion prient i2DTION TR. SEX SCHOOL/DEPT M DNIAWI DEAF F PWANI DEAF F PWANI DEAF F PWANI DEAF F PWANI DEAF F MILA	Girls' Educa Challe a Wetu Wafaulu The INTR Date 8/3/20 MOBILE CONTACT 0729024277 0712494567 0712696726 0797436221 0729302057 6727082251 0717589005	etion enge 20 EMAIL CONTACT ochiens colline gri e lur reikar ng a 960 rethytrin er Ogmail. jershowing of Commil Com Illianok of Commil Com Inlianok of gmail Co haluwar of gmail Co haluwar of gmail Co haluwar of gmail Co	Signa mailicen D com D gatozo m Jactozo m
ATTENDANCE LIST ATTENDANCE LIST County Educat Develo Trust Activity: TEST BOMINIS Venue: PRIDE INN BOTH NAME COLLINS O: OTHANG ELVIRA KARVING 4 TRINER ATIENO JOASH ONALA LILLAN ACHIENG' OOKO AMIN KHALJAM PRISCILLAR H. KARISA	Cion prient Wasicham i267100 72 SEX SCHOOL/DEPT M PWAMI DEAF F PWAMI DEAF F PWAMI DEAF F PWAMI DEAF F PWAMI DEAF M MARINANI F MILA	Girls' Educa Challe a Wetu Wafaulu STX (AA Date: 8/3/20 MOBILE CONTACT 0729024271 0712494507 0712696126 0797436221 0729362057 8127082057 8127082055	20 EMAIL CONTACT Ochiang colline gr e 1417 eshar 1929 (chtytriner Ogmail). jesthoeinor Commit Com Intation & Ochiang a 96 o (chtytriner Ogmail). jesthoeinor Com Intation & Ochiang a 96 o (chtytriner Ogmail). jesthoeinor Commit Com Intation & Ochiang a 96 o (chtytriner Ogmail). jesthoeinor Commit Com Intation & Ochiang a 96 o (chtytriner Ogmail). jesthoeinor Com Intation & Ochiang a 96 o (chtytriner Ogmail).	SIGNA mail.com De prail.com De sm com De sm Deg.hoso De m to i to i i i i i i i i i i i i i
ATTENDANCE LIST ATTENDANCE LIST COMME Activity: TEST DOMINIC NAME Venue: PRIDE INN DD. TE NAME COLLINS O. OTHANG ELVIRA KARUMGA TRINER ATIENO JOASH OMALA LILIAN ACHENG' OOKO AMIN KNALJAN PRISCILLAR H. KARISA	Sion pment Wasicham i207100 7 R. SEX SCHOOL/DEPT M DWAWI DEAF F PWANI DEAF F PWANI DEAF M MG210001 F MIGA	Girls' Educa Challe a Wetu Wataulu otx (AA Date 8/3/20 MOBILE CONTACT 0729024271 0712494507 0712696126 0797436221 072930057 8127082251 0717589005	20 EMAIL CONTACT Ochiens colling gr e Inir cikar nga 960 arthytrin or Ogmail. joshownogr comeil com Illiaroko@pilos.cc aminishalfonk haluwac@gmail.com Illiaroko@pilos.cc aminishalfonk haluwac@gmail.com	Signa mailcon ognailca com Jgaroo m A A A
ATTENDANCE LIST County Educat Develo Trust Activity: TEST & Dominics Venue: PRIDE INN BOTE NAME COLLINS O. OTHANG ELVIRA KARUNGA TRINER ATIENO JOASH OMALA LINIAN ACHIENG' OOKO Amm Khaltan PRISCILLAR H. KARISA	ion pment Wasicham i20710) 7R. T. Mombass St School/DEPT M PMANI DEAF F PWANI DEAF F PWANI DEAF F PWANI DEAF F MIGA	Girls' Educa Challe a Wetu Wataulu Date: 8/3/20 MOBILE CONTACT 07210249277 0712494567 0712494567 0713696726 0797436221 072930057 0727052251 0717589005	20 EMAIL CONTACT OCHIONS COlling OF e Wirdin or Ogmail. Instancing officer of aministration haluwar ogmail. Con haluwar ogmail	SIGNA mail.com pg.mail.com Dg.drozo m Jg.drozo m Jg.drozo m Jg.drozo m
ATTENDANCE LIST ATTENDANCE LIST ACTIVITY: TEST BOMINIE ACTIVITY: TEST BOMINIE ACTIVITY: TEST BOMINIE ACTIVITY: TEST BOMINIE Venue: PRIDE INN BOTE NAME COLLINS O. OTHANG ELVIRA KARUMGA TRINER ATIENO JOASH OMALA LINIAN ACHERIG' OOKO Amin Khaldan PRISCILLAR H. KARISA	ion prinent Wasicham iRATION TR. SEX SCHOOL/DEPT M DALAWI DEAF F PWANI DEAF F PWANI DEAF F PWANI DEAF F MILA F MILA	Girls' Educa Challe a Wetu Wafaulu TIX (AA Date 8/3/20 MOBILE CONTACT 0729024277 0712494567 0715696726 0797436221 072930057 872705251 0717589005	etion Enge 20 EMAIL CONTACT Ochiens celline gr e 1 ur reikar ng a 960 Cothytrin or Ogmail. jershowing of Ogmail Con Intarok colorihos - Ce aminishar ogmail - Ce haluwar og gmail - Ce Josu	SIGNA mailican D pracifican
ATTENDANCE LIST COUNTY Educat Develo Trust Activity: TEST BOMINIS Venue: PRIDE INN BD TE NAME COLLINS O: OTHANG ELSIRA KARVING 4 TRINER ATIENO JOASH OMALA LILIAN ACHIENIG' OOKO AMIN KARIAN PRISCILLAR H. KARISA	ion prient Wasichan i2DTION TR. SEX SCHOOL/DEPT M DNIANI DEAF F PWANI DEAF F PWANI DEAF F PWANI DEAF F MIGA	Girls' Educa Challe a Wetu Wafaulu STRY (ATT Date: 8/3/20 MOBILE CONTACT 0729024271 0712494507 0712696726 0797436221 072930057 8727082251 0717584005	etion Enge 20 EMAIL CONTACT Ochians colline gr e 14 17 eth ar v ng a 96 a cothydrin or Ogmail. fostooring off Ogmail Com Interok colling off antinthat of the haluwar og graut co dosci f dosci f dosci f dosci	SIGNA mail.com D g.mail.com D m Jg.mail.com D m Jg m J

Annex 5: Inception Report

1. Background

Education Development Trust (EDT) and KISE are involved in midterm review of Wasichana Wote Wafaulu (WWW) program as consultants in the area of special needs. The Program is mainly geared towards improving efficiency, effectiveness and relevance of national education systems and school programmes to increase access, retention and quality of learning.

The project aims at assessing the literacy and numeracy levels of learners with Visual Impairments, hearing impairments and physical impairments categories of disabilities both in primary and secondary.

2. Rationale for EGRA/EGMA TESTS in WWW Project

Improving learning has become a priority in the education sector worldwide. Despite the expansion of education in the recent past, many countries including Kenya have not realised desired learning outcomes. The progress of learning is typically slow and is marked with inequalities across different regions. Learners who make it out of primary school do not master adequate competency levels that ultimately equip students with skills that are needed to lead healthy, productive and meaningful lives. About 60 percent of learners in Grade 2 in Kenya, for example, cannot perform two-digit subtraction according to Uwezo Assessment Reports (2015) (http://www.uwezo.net/). The KCPE results indicate a marginal realization of both literacy and numeracy skills. Given the learning challenges of children with disabilities and the general lack of special needs learning equipment and resources, it is expected that disabled children are likely to perform even more poorly than their counterparts at the same level.

In accordance with the National Education Sector Strategic Plan (NESSP) 2018 – 2022 priority 2, Wasichana Wetu Wafaulu project is contributing to Policy Priority 2 which is to improve the quality and relevance of primary and secondary education. Specifically, the project is contributing in the improvement of the learning outcomes, in the delivery of competence- based education and in the integration of ICT in teaching and learning in primary and secondary schools. The project has a specific bias on improving learning among the cohort of girls in the project through in and out of classroom learning activities in regular and special needs settings. WWW has been implementing the project from 2017. Baseline results for the project indicate that majority of the learners in the schools are yet to attain proficiency in the Mathematics and English competences for their levels. To establish changes in learning outcomes as a result of

the project interventions to date, Education Development Trust, through an external evaluator administered standardized EGRA/EGMA, SEGMA/SEGRA tests at mid-line June-September 2019. Results of the evaluation were mixed with intervention performance at 50% (literacy) and 72% (numeracy) of 0.25 Standard Deviation from comparison group, using Randomized Control Trial design. However, learners with disabilities were not targeted in the assessment and therefore the project is blind on the learning competences of learners of special needs.

Purpose, Objectives and Scope of the Consultancy

The project aims at assessing the literacy and numeracy levels of learners with disabilities in the project and against this, remedial strategies developed. Specifically, the consultancy is to:

- i. Adapt existing literacy and numeracy test instruments to the various disabilities represented in the program.
- ii. Facilitate assessment of girls with various special learning needs in grades 6,7 and8 in six WWW project-supported stand-alone special schools, special units andclusters of special needs children in Mombasa and Kilifi counties.
- iii. Establish learning competency gaps and needs for girls with special needs in the two subjects and recommend remedial actions.

The project will be carried out in Malindi and Mombasa counties within a period of 21 days.

Methodology and Approach

The project shall draw respondent from the selected special needs education primary and secondary schools. Learners from these schools will be the target respondents.

Teachers to conduct the tests will be identified and trained to ensure that they have thorough knowledge of the test administration procedures.

Tests will be administered to learners and results recorded using mark-lists and scoring sheets.

The scores will be digitized and analysed using descriptive statistics.

Preliminary results will be disseminated to teachers and stakeholders and thereafter a focus group to address the identified learning gaps. Finally, the final report will be compiled and sent to EDT.

Sampling and Sampling Procedure

Sampling will consider population of the stream, sex and degree of severity. Stratified random and purposive sampling shall be used to ensure that all disabilities are catered for. Purposive sampling will be used in selection of the respondent. Thirty percent of the learners from Pwani and Likoni will be sampled. In the rest of the schools all learners shall be tested. Sampling frame will be provided by EDT.

Test Adaptation

The EGRA/EGMA tests shall be adapted to suit individual needs of learners with visual impairment, hearing impairment and physical impairment.

All tests shall be produced in braille and large print depending on the needs of learners sampled.

The adaptation will require two teachers of Maths and English who are specialists in Visual and Hearing impairments.

Workplan

Task	Duration	Dates	Deliverables
i) Project briefing	2days	4 th -5 th January	Work plan
Work plan preparation			
ii)Develop the special needs learners assignment framework	5days	24 th -28 th January	Adapted literacy and numeracy tests
iii)Adapt literacy and numeracy tests			-Observation and
iv) Development of observation and interview tools			interview tools
v) Tests , Observation and interview tools presented to Trust	1days	29 th Feb	Tests and tools for data collection
vi) Train teachers on special needs assessment- test development/adaptation and administration	3days	1 st -3 rd March	Number of trained teachers on test administration
vii) Test administered and marked	5days	4 th -10 th	Marked and analyzed test results

viii) Test result dissemination with schools	3days	11 th , 12 th , 13 th	Data from FGD
ix) Report writing including key observations and actionable recommendations shared with Trust	3days	15 th - 17 th March	Report with recommendations
Total	22days		